

REMARKS

The Reissue Declaration filed with the application was deemed defective for failure to distinctly specify 1) what each defect in the patent is; 2) how each defect arose; 3) when each defect arose; 4) how each defect was discovered; and 5) when each defect was discovered. A substitute Reissue Declaration submitted herewith provides each such detail and is believed to comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.175.

The Assent of Assignee filed with the application was deemed defective for failure to state that the evidentiary documents have been reviewed and that the title is believed to be in the Assignee. A supplemental Assent of Assignee submitted herewith provides the requisite statement.


All formal matters are believed to be complied with. The Examiner has indicated that claims 1-24 contain allowable subject matter. Therefore, allowance of claims 1-24 is respectfully submitted.

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required to Deposit Account No. 23-0650 or refund any overpayment in the form of a check. One original and two duplicates of this Reissue Transmittal are enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

WEBB ZIESENHEIM BRUENING LOGSDON
ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.

By


Julie W. Meder

Registration No. 36,216
Attorney for Applicants
700 Koppers Building
436 Seventh Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818
Telephone: 412-471-8815
Facsimile: 412-471-4094